IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TROY ALLEN CROMLEIGH)
Petitioner)
) C.A. No. 07-275 Erie
VS.) District Judge McLaughlin
) Chief Magistrate Judge Baxter
CHARLES F. CHENOT, et al,	
Respondents.)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

I. REPORT

It is respectfully recommended that this action be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, forthwith.

II. RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner, Troy Allen Cromleigh, presently incarcerated at the SCI at Albion,
Pennsylvania, brings this petition for writ of mandamus against Charles F. Chenot of the Perry
County District Attorney's office and Attorney Jerry A. Philpott. As relief, Plaintiff requests
that this Court order the Court of Common Pleas of Perry County to provide him with copies of
hearing transcripts, reports and discovery in his criminal case.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) provides that "for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought." Title 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) provides that venue is proper in such actions in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

Case 3:08-cv-00031-EMK-LQ Document 12 Filed 12/05/07 Page 2 of 2

In this case, Respondents are not located within this District, but are located in Perry

County, Pennsylvania which is in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of

Pennsylvania. For the convenience of the parties, this Court recommends that this case be

transferred to the Middle District forthwith.

III. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, this case be transferred to the United States District Court for

the Middle District of Pennsylvania forthwith.

In accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), and

Local Rule 72.1.4 B, the parties are allowed ten (10) days from the date of service to file written

objections to this report. Failure to timely file objections may constitute a waiver of appellate

rights. See Nara v. Frank, F.3d , 2007 WL 1321929 (3d Cir. May 08, 2007).

SV Susan Paradise Baxter SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER Chief United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: December 5, 2007

2